Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology

SYMPOSIUM

Evolutionary Endocrinology: Hormones as Mediators of Evolutionary Phenomena

Robert M. Cox, 1,* Joel W. McGlothlin[†] and Frances Bonier[‡]

*Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA 22904; †Department of Biology, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA 24061; †Biology Department, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

From the symposium "Evolutionary Endocrinology: Hormones as mediators of evolutionary phenomena" presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology, January 3–7, 2016, Portland, Oregon.

¹E-mail: rmc3u@virginia.edu

Introduction

Hormones are agents of biological coordination that circulate systemically to signal diverse cells and tissues, thereby influencing nearly all aspects of the phenotype, including behavior, morphology, physiology, and life history. Hormonal phenotypes can be both heritable and subject to natural selection (Bonier et al. 2009; McGlothlin et al. 2010; Ouyang et al. 2011; Pavitt et al. 2014; Cox et al. 2016, this issue), yet hormones and endocrine pathways have rarely been integrated into evolutionary models and analyses. As Garland et al. (2016, this issue) note this issue, "the seminal papers in modern evolutionary physiology scarcely mentioned the endocrine system." Nevertheless, over the past two decades, the field of evolutionary endocrinology (Zera et al. 2007; Nepomnaschy et al. 2009) has emerged not only as a means of understanding the evolution of the endocrine system itself (Denver et al. 2009), but also as a framework for exploring the roles of hormones in shaping other evolutionary phenomena (Ketterson and Nolan 1999; Adkins-Regan 2008; Husak et al. 2009; Williams 2012). Originally centered on classic quantitative genetic approaches to the study of hormonal phenotypes themselves (Zera and Zhang 1995; Zera and Huang 1999), this field has expanded to include new ideas about the diverse roles of hormones as mediators of a variety of fundamental evolutionary phenomena. This theme of "hormones as mediators of evolutionary phenomena" serves as the organizing concept for this issue and can be illustrated by several examples drawn from the papers that follow.

Hormones as mediators of phenotypic and genetic integration

The field of quantitative genetics arose to provide a mathematical framework for predicting evolutionary responses to natural and artificial selection (Lynch and Walsh 1998). Patterns of genetic variance (e.g., heritability) and genetic covariance among traits (e.g., genetic correlations) provide the statistical basis for these predictions, but they are mathematical abstractions that do not specify underlying mechanisms. As the challenge of mapping from genes to phenotypes has come to prominence, evolutionary biologists have become increasingly interested in the mechanistic basis of these statistical measures. Cox et al. (2016, this issue) illustrate how the hormonal milieu of an individual establishes a local environment for gene expression that can create and break apart phenotypic and genetic covariance by orchestrating patterns of gene co-expression. This implies that selection will act on different patterns of phenotypic and genetic variance and covariance, and thus produce different evolutionary responses, when acting on the same genotypes in different endocrine backgrounds. This idea is an extension (from phenotype to genotype) of the familiar concept of hormonal pleiotropy (Flatt et al. 2005; Williams 2012), in which a single hormone can influence multiple phenotypes, thereby structuring patterns of phenotypic correlation and influencing the trait combinations that are available to selection (McGlothlin and Ketterson 2008; Ketterson et al. 2009). Studies of endocrine mechanism are thus uniquely situated to simultaneously enhance our

122 R. M. Cox et al.

understanding of both genetic and phenotypic evolution.

Hormones as mediators of evolutionary conflicts

Evolutionary conflicts can arise at many levels—between parents and offspring, between mates, or between phenotypically divergent sexes, morphs, or ontogenetic stages that share the same underlying genome. Mokkonen et al. (2016, this issue) provide an overview of the many ways in which hormones can mediate these evolutionary conflicts, drawing heavily from their work involving artificial selection for high and low testosterone levels in bank voles, Myodes glareolus (Mills et al. 2009; 2012; Mokkonen et al. 2011, 2012). Cox et al. (2016, this issue) demonstrate how the pleotropic effects of testosterone on gene expression in the brown anole, Anolis sagrei, may help to reduce genetic correlations for traits that are shared between the sexes, thereby facilitating the evolution of sexual dimorphism and the resolution of intralocus sexual conflict (Rice and Chippindale 2001; Bonduriansky and Chenoweth 2009; Cox and Calsbeek 2009). Other examples in which hormones facilitate the expression of different phenotypes from the same underlying genome include the endocrine regulation of polymorphisms and alternative mating tactics, as illustrated in this issue by several studies of polymorphic insects (Zera 2016, this issue; Zinna et al. 2016, this issue). For example, Zinna et al. (2016, this issue) use a phylogenetic context to show that the effects of juvenile hormone and insulin signaling can differ among lineages with respect to their roles in mediating polyphenisms in some of the most spectacular weapons to be produced by sexual selection—the elaborate horns and mandibles of stag beetles, dung beetles, and rhinoceros beetles.

Hormones as mediators of life-history evolution

Life-history trade-offs are cornerstones of evolutionary theory, and recent work has built on foundational evolutionary perspectives invoking hormones (Finch and Rose 1995) to clarify many of the physiological and genetic mechanisms shaping these trade-offs (Flatt and Heyland 2011). However, this refined mechanistic perspective has yet to be formulated in a way that directly addresses the major predictions of evolutionary theory (Stearns 2011). One major challenge for evolutionary endocrinology is to integrate functional, genomic, and transcriptomic methods for describing the hormonal axes that

structure life-history trade-offs (Williams 2012; Schwartz and Bronikowski 2013; McGaugh et al. 2015) with evolutionary theory, quantitative genetic analyses, and artificial selection experiments designed to test predictions about the roles of hormones in shaping life-history evolution (Hau 2007; Zera et al. 2007; Dantzer and Swanson 2012; Davidowitz et al. 2012). Ouyang et al. (2016, this issue) apply a lifehistory perspective to a wild population of tree swallows, Tachycineta bicolor, to examine whether glucocorticoid levels are associated with trade-offs between reproductive investment and physiological aspects of self-maintenance and survival, such as oxidative stress and telomere length. Dantzer et al. (2016, this issue) draw upon their demographic and experimental studies of red squirrels, Tamiasciurus hudsonicus, to explore the roles of glucocorticoids as mediators of life-history variation while demonstrating how environmental variation may often complicate our attempts to link endocrine phenotypes to fitness. From a more mechanistic perspective, Schwartz and Bronikowski (2016, this issue) discuss an important endocrine mediator of life-history variation—the insulin and insulin-like signaling network—from a comparative perspective incorporates new genomic data from reptiles (including birds) to illustrate gene sequence evolution and possible functional changes in this conserved endocrine axis. When it comes to testing predictive theories about life-history evolution, Davidowitz (2016, this issue) argues that it will often be necessary to replace the many small details gleaned from reductionist approaches with more holistic proxies that simplify endocrine complexity into a few key variables, an approach that he illustrates with artificial selection experiments on life-history traits in the tobacco hornworm, Manduca sexta.

Hormones as facilitators of and constraints on adaptation

Most of the major axes of the endocrine system are evolutionarily conserved, suggesting a potential evolutionary constraint. Moreover, because hormones often act as pleiotropic regulators of multiple traits (Flatt et al. 2005), changes in hormone production and secretion that are adaptive with respect to one trait may be maladaptive on the whole, due to their deleterious effects on other traits (Ketterson and Nolan 1999; Hau 2007; McGlothlin and Ketterson 2008). However, comparative data are increasingly revealing that the roles of evolutionarily conserved hormones often vary across taxa, and subtle changes in the ways that these hormones are coupled to (and

Evolutionary endocrinology 123

decoupled from) their downstream targets may actually provide a highly flexible regulatory system with considerable evolutionary potential (Cox and John-Alder 2005; Cox et al. 2009; Hau and Wingfield 2011). For example, Schwartz and Bronikowski (2016, this issue) review recent evidence showing that patterns of molecular evolution in the genes for insulin-like growth factors 1 and 2 vary between mammals and reptiles and suggest that the ontogenetic specificity and developmental significance of IGF2 may also differ between these two lineages. Rosvall et al. (2016, this issue) synthesize the results of common-garden and field studies of two subspecies of the dark-eyed junco, Junco hyemalis, to illustrate how recent divergence in several aspects of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis and its cross talk with the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis may underlie population differences in aggression, body size, and ornamentation of males.

Symposium goals, major themes, and future directions

One practical goal of this symposium was to encourage the application of techniques and perspectives from evolutionary biology in studies of endocrine systems. As a starting point, Cox et al. (2016, this issue) introduce the breeder's equation as a conceptual framework for applying two basic evolutionary approaches—phenotypic selection analyses quantitative genetic analyses—to the study of endocrine traits. Subsequent papers by Ouyang et al. (2016, this issue) and Dantzer et al. (2016, this issue) illustrate many of the potential rewards and challenges associated with linking endocrine phenotypes to fitness in wild populations. Likewise, Cox et al. (2016, this issue) and Zera (2016, this issue) each call attention to the complexities inherent in estimating quantitative genetic parameters for endocrine phenotypes that vary over time. A quantitative genetic foundation is also evident in several contributed papers using artificial selection experiments to explore the endocrine basis of sexual conflict (Mokkonen et al. 2016, this issue), the evolution of life-history traits (Davidowitz 2016, this issue), and the evolution of behavior and other complex phenotypes (Garland et al. 2016, this issue).

A third approach from evolutionary genetics that is featured in many of the contributed papers is the use of RNA sequencing and quantitative PCR to measure gene expression. These methods hold particular promise for evolutionary endocrinology because they can reveal effects of hormones on tissue-wide patterns of transcription, thereby

exposing the elusive intermediate steps connecting gene sequences to organismal phenotypes. Topics addressed with this approach include the potential role of testosterone in reducing between-sex genetic correlations by orchestrating patterns of sex-biased gene expression (Cox et al. 2016, this issue), the recent evolution of population differences in gonadal expression of hormone receptor genes between phenotypically divergent subspecies (Rosvall et al. 2016, this issue), the evolution of morph-specific patterns of circadian gene expression associated with polymorphic circadian rhythms for juvenile hormone secretion (Zera 2016, this issue), and the use of comparative transcriptomics to characterize evolutionary changes in the insulin and insulin-like signaling network across major vertebrate lineages (Schwartz and Bronikowski 2016, this issue). With the increasing availability of genomic data for nonmodel species and the relative ease with which massively parallel sequencing techniques now permit us to assess tissue-wide patterns of gene expression, these approaches should provide answers to an exciting variety of questions at the intersection of endocrinology and genetics. Nonetheless, relatively newer genomic techniques will be most illuminating when integrated with more traditional evolutionary approaches, such as phenotypic selection analyses, artificial selection experiments, quantitative genetics, and evolutionary developmental biology.

The dynamic tension between reductionist approaches centered on endocrine mechanisms and synthetic approaches seeking predictive utility for evolutionary theory provided a recurring theme throughout the symposium. Nearly every contributed talk involved at least one complex schematic representing an endocrine axis or corresponding gene network, replete with endocrine glands, hormones, receptors, binding proteins, and other biological details. Several of the papers that follow nicely illustrate how this mechanistic complexity can be surveyed so as not to miss the forest for the trees. Morrison and Badyaev (2016, this issue) outline an approach in which the functional utilization of a particular physiological or genetic network, as potentially mediated by hormones, shapes the realized expression and evolution of the network from among the many possible interactions between its component parts. In their view, the network itself is the phenomenon to be explained, but only through quantification of its component parts. Schwartz and Bronikowski (2016, this issue) present the insulin and insulin-like signaling network in impressive mechanistic detail, yet focus their analyses on broad evolutionary patterns 124 R. M. Cox et al.

across vertebrates, holistic features of the network itself, and evolutionary shifts in key upstream components of the network. Davidowitz (2016, this issue) argues that predictive utility with respect to evolutionary theory necessitates the use of "endocrine proxies" that distill the numerous mechanistic details of endocrine networks into a small number of key variables, though a firm understanding of mechanism will often be crucial for establishing the most informative proxies. Several other papers call for greater mechanistic detail, highlighting the limitations associated with a historical reliance on circulating hormone levels as the primary endocrine phenotypes to be considered in analyses of heritability, field estimates of selection, and artificial selection experiments (Cox et al. 2016, this issue; Garland et al. 2016, this issue). Explicit consideration of the interactions between hormones, binding proteins, and receptors would almost certainly help to move these areas of evolutionary endocrinology forward.

A final goal of this symposium was to identify the emerging questions, biological systems, technological advances, and theoretical perspectives that endocrinologists and evolutionary biologists might reciprocally adopt to foster a true synthesis between these disciplines. Garland et al. (2016, this issue; Table 1) provide an illustrative example of the type of "emergent questions" that can arise from the hybridization of endocrinology and evolutionary biology to transcend the usual boundaries of each individual field. Other emergent questions are evident in the conceptual areas discussed above, as are several promising perspectives and methodological approaches (e.g., phenotypic selection, quantitative genetics, artificial selection, transcriptomics, molecular evolution, network theory). Participants also discussed the need to broaden the taxonomic focus of evolutionary endocrinology to include more systems that are amenable to large-scale phenotypic selection studies, quantitative genetic analyses, artificial selection experiments, and genetic and genomic interrogation using reference genomes and associated resources. This hybrid field would also benefit from training future cohorts of endocrinologists as evolutionary biologists (and vice versa) by emphasizing the major unanswered questions in evolutionary biology (and why attention to mechanism can help answer these questions) and techniques in evolutionary analysis (and how they can be adopted by endocrinologists). Finally, we should increasingly attempt to formulate studies of endocrine mechanisms to distinguish among alternative evolutionary hypotheses, so that studies of endocrine pathways transcend reductionism to form

the basis of a predictive and testable body of theory centered on the roles of hormones in facilitating and constraining adaptation.

Funding

Participants in the Evolutionary Endocrinology symposium received funding from the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology (Divisions of Animal Behavior, Comparative Endocrinology, Ecology and Evolution, and Evolutionary Developmental Biology) and the US National Science Foundation (IOS 1539936).

References

Adkins-Regan E. 2008. Do hormonal control systems produce evolutionary inertia? Philos Trans Biol Sci 363:1599–609.

Bonduriansky R, Chenoweth SF. 2009. Intralocus sexual conflict. Trends Ecol Evol 24:280–8.

Bonier F, Martin PR, Moore IT, Wingfield JC. 2009. Do baseline glucocorticoids predict fitness? Trends Ecol Evol 24:634–42.

Cox RM, Calsbeek R. 2009. Sexually antagonistic selection, sexual dimorphism, and the resolution of intralocus sexual conflict. Am Nat 173:176–87.

Cox RM, John-Alder HB. 2005. Testosterone has opposite effects on male growth in lizards (*Sceloporus* spp.) with opposite patterns of sexual size dimorphism. J Exp Biol 208:4679–87.

Cox RM, McGlothlin JW, Bonier F. 2016. Hormones as mediators of phenotypic and genetic integration: and evolutionary genetics approach. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.

Cox RM, Stenquist DS, Calsbeek R. 2009. Testosterone, growth, and the evolution of sexual size dimorphism. J Evol Biol 22:1586–98.

Dantzer B, Swanson EM. 2012. Mediation of vertebrate life histories via insulin-like growth factor-1. Biol Rev 87:414–29.

Dantzer B, Westrick S, van Kesteren F. 2016. Relationships between endocrine traits and life histories in wild animals: insights, problems, and potential pitfalls. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.

Davidowitz G. 2016. Endocrine proxies can simplify endocrine complexity to enable evolutionary prediction. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.

Davidowitz G, Nijhout HF, Roff DA. 2012. Predicting the response to simultaneous selection: genetic architecture and physiological constraints. Evolution 66:2916–28.

Denver RJ, Hopkins PM, McCormick SD, Propper CR, Riddiford L, Sower SA, Wingfield JC. 2009. Comparative endocrinology in the 21st century. Integr Comp Biol 49:339–48.

Finch CE, Rose MR. 1995. Hormones and the physiological architecture of life history evolution. Quart Rev Biol 70:1–52.

Flatt T, Heyland A. 2011. Mechanisms of life history evolution: the genetics and physiology of life history traits and trade-offs: the genetics and physiology of life history traits and trade-offs. Oxford: OUP.

Evolutionary endocrinology 125

Flatt T, Tu M-P, Tatar M. 2005. Hormonal pleiotropy and the juvenile hormone regulation of *Drosophila* development and life history. BioEssays 27:999–1010.

- Garland TJ, Meng Z, Saltzman W. 2016. Hormones and the evolution of complex traits: insights from artificial selection on behavior. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.
- Hau M. 2007. Regulation of male traits by testosterone: implications for the evolution of vertebrate life histories. BioEssays 29:133–44.
- Hau M, Wingfield JC. 2011. Hormonally-regulated trade-offs: evolutionary variability and phenotypic plasticity in testosterone signalling pathways. In: Flatt T, Heyland A, editors. Mechanisms of life history evolution. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. p. 349–61.
- Husak JF, Irschick DJ, McCormick SD, Moore IT. 2009. Hormonal regulation of whole-animal performance: implications for selection. Integr Comp Biol 49:349–53.
- Ketterson ED, Atwell JW, McGlothlin JW. 2009. Phenotypic integration and independence: hormones, performance, and response to environmental change. Integr Comp Biol 49:365–79.
- Ketterson ED, Nolan V. Jr. 1999. Adaptation, Exaptation, and Constraint: A Hormonal Perspective. Am Nat 154:S4–S25.
- Lynch M, Walsh B. 1998. Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits. Sunderland (MA): Sinauer Associates.
- McGaugh SE, Bronikowski AM, Kuo C-H, Reding DM, Addis EA, Flagel LE, Janzen FJ, Schwartz TS. 2015. Rapid molecular evolution across amniotes of the IIS/TOR network. Proc Natl Acad Sci 112:7055–60.
- McGlothlin JW, Ketterson ED. 2008. Hormone-mediated suites as adaptations and evolutionary constraints. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 363:1611–20.
- McGlothlin JW, Whittaker DJ, Schrock SE, Gerlach NM, Jawor JM, Snajdr EA, Ketterson ED. 2010. Natural selection on testosterone production in a wild songbird population. Am Nat 175:687–701.
- Mills S, Grapputo A, Jokinen I, Koskela E, Mappes T, Oksanen T, Poikonen T. 2009. Testosterone-mediated effects on fitness-related phenotypic traits and fitness. Am Nat 173:475–87.
- Mills SC, Koskela E, Mappes T. 2012. Intralocus sexual conflict for fitness: sexually antagonistic alleles for testosterone. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 279:1889–95.
- Mokkonen M, Kokko H, Koskela E, Lehtonen J, Mappes T, Martiskainen H, Mills SC. 2011. Negative frequency-dependent selection of sexually antagonistic alleles in myodes glareolus. Science 334:972–4.
- Mokkonen M, Koskela E, Mappes T, Mills SC. 2012. Sexual antagonism for testosterone maintains multiple mating behaviour. J Anim Ecol 81:277–83.
- Mokkonen M, Koskela E, Mappes T, Mills S. 2016. Evolutionary conflict between maternal and paternal interests. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.
- Morrison ES, Badyaev AV. 2016. The landscape of evolution: reconciling structural and dynamic properties of metabolic

- networks in adaptive diversification. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.
- Nepomnaschy PA, Vitzthum VJ, Flinn MV. 2009. Evolutionary endocrinology: integrating proximate mechanisms, ontogeny, and evolved function. Am J Hum Biol 21:728–30.
- Ouyang JQ, Lendvai A, Moore IT, Bonier F, Haussmann M. 2016. Reproductive investment, survival, and their physiological correlates in a free-living songbird. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.
- Ouyang JQ, Sharp PJ, Dawson A, Quetting M, Hau M. 2011. Hormone levels predict individual differences in reproductive success in a passerine bird. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 282:2537–45.
- Pavitt AT, Walling CA, Pemberton JM, Kruuk LEB. 2014. Heritability and cross-sex genetic correlations of early-life circulating testosterone levels in a wild mammal. Biol Lett 10. DOI: 10.1098/rsbl.2014.0685.
- Rice WR, Chippindale AK. 2001. Intersexual ontogenetic conflict. J Evol Biol 14:685–693.
- Rosvall KA, Bergeron Burns CM, Jayaratna SP, Dossey EK, Ketterson ED. 2016. Gonads and the evolution of hormonal phenotypes. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.
- Schwartz TS, Bronikowski AM. 2013. Dissecting molecular stress networks: identifying nodes of divergence between life-history phenotypes. Mol Ecol 22:739–56.
- Schwartz TS, Bronikowski AM. 2016. The insulin and insulinlike signaling network in ectothermic reptiles: more questions than answers? Integr Comp Biol, this issue.
- Stearns SC. 2011. Does impressive progress on understanding mechanisms advance life history theory? In: Flatt T, Heyland A, editors. Mechanisms of life history evolution: the genetics and physiology of life history traits and tradeoffs. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.p. 365–74.
- Williams TD. 2012. Hormones, life-history, and phenotypic variation: opportunities in evolutionary avian endocrinology. Gen Comp Endocrinol 176:286–95.
- Zera A. 2016. Evolutionary endocrinology of hormonal rhythms: JH titer circadian polymorphism in *Gryllus firmus*. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.
- Zera AJ, Harshman LG, Williams TD. 2007. Evolutionary endocrinology: the developing synthesis between endocrinology and evolutionary genetics. Ann Rev Ecol Evol Syst 38:793–817.
- Zera AJ, Huang Y. 1999. Evolutionary endocrinology of juvenile hormone esterase: functional relationship with wing polymorphism in the cricket, *Gryllus firmus*. Evolution 53:837–47.
- Zera AJ, Zhang C. 1995. Evolutionary endocrinology of juvenile hormone esterase in *Gryllus assimilis*: direct and correlated responses to selection. Genetics 141:1125–34.
- Zinna R, Gotoh H, Dolezal A, Niimi T, Emlen D, Lavine L. 2016. Endocrine control of exaggerated traits in rhinoceros beetles. Integr Comp Biol, this issue.